Wade Hampton High

201 Airport Rd. Hampton, SC 29924

Grades 9-12 High School

Enrollment 819 Students

Principal Greg Ackerman 803-943-4977

Superintendent Dr. Terry O. Pruitt 803–943–4576

Board Chair Mr. Eugene Jenkins, Jr. 803–943–0547

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

2006

ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

ABSOLUTE RATING

AVERAGE

Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours

Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory

4 7 13 7 3

IMPROVEMENT RATING

UNSATISFACTORY

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

О

This school met 15 out of 17 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD									
Year	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress						
2003	Excellent	Excellent	No						
2004	Good	Unsatisfactory	Yes						
2005	Good	Below Average	No						
2006	Average	Unsatisfactory	No						

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS									
		Our School		,	gh Schools w dents Like O				
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006			
Passed 2 subtests	78.1	70.1	70.4	72.3	65.0	70.6			
Passed 1 subtest	11.5	18.8	19.6	14.8	17.3	13.7			
Passed no subtests	10.4	11.2	10.1	13.3	17.7	15.7			

HSAP PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2006		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	92.3%	87.5%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP		
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	5.4	8.8
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	5.4	9.0
Seniors who met the grade point average	30.2	44.4

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Number of Students	166	221
Number of Diplomas	119	160
Rate	71.7%	74.4%

END OF COURSE TESTS								
Percent of students scoring 70 or above on:	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours						
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	68.1	76.2						
English 1	59.3	59.8						
Biology 1/Applied Biology 2	38.9	55.2						
Physical Science	35.8	39.9						
All Subjects	49.7	57.2						

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS									
	HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2006		Eligibility Schola	for LIFE arship	Graduation Rate				
	n	%	n	n %		%	Met State Objective		
All Students	181	92.3	149	5.4	119	71.7	No		
Gender									
Male	92	90.2	76	9.2	88	65.9	N/A		
Female	89	94.4	73	1.4	78	78.2	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	89	96.6	70	8.6	79	79.7	N/A		
African American	91	87.9	78	1.3	86	64.0	N/A		
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	100.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	N/A		
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
Non disabled	172	95.3	149	5.4	156	75.0	N/A		
Disabilities other than speech	9	33.3	0	0.0	10	20.0	N/A		
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	181	92.3	149	5.4	166	71.7	N/A		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-Limited English Proficient	181	92.3	149	5.4	166	71.7	N/A		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	91	90.1	81	65.4	81	65.4	N/A		
Full-pay meals	90	94.4	86	7.0	85	77.6	N/A		

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

				ROU	

HSAP PERFORMANCE BY GRO	UP								
	Enrollment 1st		/ %		/ ~~	/	1	Performance Objection	Participation Objective
	iglish/Lan								
All Students	189	98.4	10.6	33.9	37.2	18.3	62.2	Yes	Yes
Gender									
Male	95	97.9	10.1	37.1	37.1	15.7	59.6	N/A	N/A
Female	94	98.9	11.0	30.8	37.4	20.9	64.8	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	78	98.7	6.7	26.7	36.0	30.7	74.7	Yes	Yes
African American	110	98.2	12.5	39.4	38.5	9.6	53.8	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	167	98.8	4.4	33.8	41.3	20.6	69.4	N/A	N/A
Disabled	22	95.5	60.0	35.0	5.0	N/A	5.0	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	189	98.4	10.6	33.9	37.2	18.3	62.2	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	188	98.4	10.1	34.1	37.4	18.4	62.6	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	107	97.2	15.0	35.0	34.0	16.0	57.0	Yes	Yes
Full-pay meals	82	100.0	5.0	32.5	41.3	21.3	68.8	N/A	N/A

M	lathematic	cs - State	Performa	ance Obje	ctive = 50	0.0%			
All Students	189	97.4	24.7	36.0	25.3	14.0	55.1	Yes	Yes
Gender									
Male	95	96.8	20.5	40.9	26.1	12.5	58.0	N/A	N/A
Female	94	97.9	28.9	31.1	24.4	15.6	52.2	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	78	97.4	16.2	33.8	27.0	23.0	64.9	Yes	Yes
African American	110	97.3	31.1	37.9	23.3	7.8	47.6	No	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	167	98.2	17.0	40.3	27.0	15.7	60.4	N/A	N/A
Disabled	22	90.9	89.5	N/A	10.5	N/A	10.5	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	189	97.4	24.7	36.0	25.3	14.0	55.1	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	188	97.3	24.9	36.2	24.9	14.1	54.8	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	107	96.3	31.3	31.3	24.2	13.1	50.5	Yes	Yes
Full-pay meals	82	98.8	16.5	41.8	26.6	15.2	60.8	N/A	N/A

SCHOOL PROFILE

	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 819)			Zino Guio	
Retention rate	7.2%	Down from 9.1%	7.8%	7.0%
Attendance rate	96.6%	Down from 96.8%	95.5%	95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented	7.0%		7.0%	7.9%
With disabilities other than speech	8.5%		14.0%	12.3%
Older than usual for grade Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	12.1% 0.2%	Down from 12.8% Down from 3.2%	11.4% 0.9%	9.5% 1.2%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	9.6%	Down from 16.5%	10.6%	11.2%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship*	5.4%		9.0%	10.2%
Annual dropout rate	1.7%	Up from 0.1%	3.5%	2.8%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	4.2%	Down from 4.7%	7.3%	3.5%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	580	Up from 538	580	448
Students participating in worked-based experiences	55.6%	Up from 50.9%	28.4%	24.2%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	79.0%	Down from 82.1%	76.3%	80.0%
Career/technology completers placed * Using only SAT/ACT and Grade Point Average requirem		Down from 95.9%	97.6%	99.1%
Teachers (n= 53)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	50.9%	Down from 55.6%	51.0%	55.5%
Continuing contract teachers	N/AV		N/AV	N/AV
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	3.2%	N/A	10.5%	9.6%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	21.3%	Down from 25.5%	10.5%	9.9%
Teachers returning from previous year	86.1%	Down from 87.4%	86.9%	86.3%
Teacher attendance rate		Up from 94.2%	94.9%	95.3%
Average teacher salary Prof. development days/teacher	, ,	Up 4.7% Up from 10.0 days	\$42,527 12.0 days	\$42,943 11.2 days
School	i no dayo	op nom rote daye	12.0 00,0	1112 days
Principal's years at school	2.0	Up from 1.0	3.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects		Up from 24.3 to 1	26.2 to 1	25.7 to 1
Prime instructional time	89.2%	•	89.0%	89.3%
Dollars spent per pupil*		Down 1.3%	\$6,616	\$6,792
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	51.2%	Up from 49.0%	56.3%	55.3%
Percent of expenditures for instruction*	53.2%		62.0%	61.1%
Opportunities in the arts		No change	Excellent	Excellent
Parents attending conferences		Down from 99.0%	88.1%	92.8%
SACS accreditation		No change	Yes	Yes
Character development * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Excellent	Up from Good	Good	Good
		Our	District	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by high			N/A	6.2%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by high	nly qualified teach	ners State Ohio	0.0%	10.2%

Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers

Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers

N/A

6.2%

10.2%

State Objective

Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers in this school

Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers in this school

3.0%

No

Student attendance in this school

4.0%*

Yes

Abbreviations for Missing Data

Wade Hampton High 10/30/06 2501001

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Wade Hampton High School students experienced another incredible year. Academics, athletics, fine arts, and other extra-curricular activities helped give the students confidence and pride in what they accomplished. Wade Hampton High School teachers help establish a feeling in students that it is cool to care about their classmates. There are a number of clubs and programs where it is evident that service to others is a priority.

Wade Hampton High School still wants to provide the best educational opportunities to all of the students. Our graduates were awarded close to one million dollars in college scholarships. Two students were named Palmetto Fellows, ten students were selected to attend the Governor's school, and a number of students were recognized in national competitions.

The Marching Red Guardsmen band placed sixth in the Lower State competition and went on to compete in the state finals once again this year. NJROTC received the unit achievement award and the rifle drill team competed in several competitions. The Boys' Cross Country team repeated as region champions and went on to compete in the state finals.

Wade Hampton High School offered students the greatest number of College Credit Now classes this year through USC-Salkehatchie on the Wade Hampton campus. Our college credit classes allow students to receive up to thirty college credits, so by the time they graduate they will have actually had a freshman year of college completed!

SAT scores continue to climb steadily. End of Course exam passing scores increased in every subject at least ten percent from the previous year, with 70% of the Algebra I students passing. 92% of the students taking the HSAP Exit Exam for the first time passed in English. Mandatory test prep classes for tenth graders helped students improve their test taking skills and prepare for the HSAP Exit Exam and the SAT or ACT.

Jobs for America's Graduates gave students an opportunity to really understand the importance of staying in school and getting an education. The JAG classes were able to concentrate on studies and still perform service projects, take field trips, and value an education.

Teachers strive to help all children succeed by offering them after-school tutoring, credit recovery, makeup work, a variety of instructional strategies, peer mentoring, and early bird sessions. Students have every opportunity to succeed at Wade Hampton High School and are encouraged to take advantage of these wonderful opportunities.

Greg Ackerman, Principal

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS								
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*					
Number of surveys returned	44	133	57					
Percent satisfied with learning environment	84.1%	67.7%	69.6%					
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	77.3%	69.2%	52.6%					
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	65.9%	85.7%	66.1%					

^{*}Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.